

**MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF SMOLT MIGRATION IN
THE COLUMBIA BASIN**

VOLUME XX

**Evaluation of the 2010 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and
Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolts to Rock Island, Lower
Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville Dams using
Program RealTime**

Prepared by:

Richard L. Townsend
Peter Westhagen
John R. Skalski

School of Aquatic & Fishery Science
University of Washington
1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1820
Seattle, Washington 98101-2509

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Bonneville Power Administration
Environment, Fish and Wildlife
P.O. Box 3621
Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 91-051-00
Contract Number 00044847

January 2011

Monitoring and Evaluation of Smolt Migration in the Columbia Basin

- Volume I:** Townsend, R. L., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 1997. Evaluation of the 1995 predictions of run-timing of wild migrant subyearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-11) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 91-BI-91572.
- Volume II:** Townsend, R. L., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 1998. Evaluation of the 1996 predictions of run-timing of wild migrant subyearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report (DOE/BP-91572-2) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 91-BI-91572.
- Volume III:** Townsend, R. L., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 2000. Evaluation of the 1997 predictions of run-timing of wild migrant yearling and subyearling Chinook and sockeye in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 91-BI-91572.
- Volume IV:** Burgess, C., R. L. Townsend, J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 2000. Evaluation of the 1998 predictions of the run-timing of wild migrant yearling and subyearling Chinook and steelhead, and hatchery sockeye in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume V:** Burgess, C., J. R. Skalski. 2000. Evaluation of the 1999 predictions of the run-timing of wild migrant yearling and subyearling Chinook salmon and steelhead trout, and hatchery sockeye salmon in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume VI:** Burgess, C., J. R. Skalski. 2000. Evaluation of the 2000 predictions of the run-timing of wild migrant Chinook salmon and steelhead trout, and hatchery sockeye salmon in the Snake River Basin, and combined wild and hatchery salmonids migrating to Rock Island and McNary Dams using program RealTime. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume VII:** Skalski, J. R., and R. F. Ngouenet. 2001. Evaluation of the Compliance Testing Framework for RPA Improvement as Stated in the 2000 Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) Biological Opinion. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume VIII:** Skalski, J. R., and R. F. Ngouenet. 2001. Comparison of the RPA testing rules provided in the 2000 Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) Biological Opinion with new test criteria designed to improve the statistical power of the biological assessments. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume IX:** Burgess, C., and J. R. Skalski. 2001. Evaluation of the 2001 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Migrant Salmon and Steelhead Trout migrating to Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, and John Day Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume X:** Burgess, C., and J. R. Skalski. 2002. Evaluation of the 2002 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Migrant Salmon and Steelhead Trout migrating to Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, and John Day Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572.
- Volume XI:** Burgess, C., and J. R. Skalski. 2004. Evaluation of the 2003 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Migrant Salmon and Steelhead Trout migrating to Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, and John Day Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 00004134.
- Volume XII:** Townsend, Richard L., C. Burgess, and J. R. Skalski. 2005. Evaluation of the 2004 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 00004134.

- Volume XIII:** Griswold, James D., R. L. Townsend, and J. R. Skalski. 2006. Evaluation of the 2005 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 00004134.
- Volume XIV:** Griswold, James D., Richard L. Townsend, and J. R. Skalski. 2007. Evaluation of the 2006 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 00004134.
- Volume XV:** Griswold, James D., Richard L. Townsend, and J. R. Skalski. 2007. Evaluation of the 2007 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 00004134.
- Volume XVI:** Buchanan, R. A., J. R. Skalski, J. L. Lady, P. Westhagen, and J. Griswold. 2007. Survival and Transportation Effects for Migrating Snake River Hatchery Chinook Salmon and Steelhead: Historical Estimates from 1996-2003. Technical report to BPA, Project 1991-051-00, Contract 00025093.
- Volume XVII:** Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, and J. R. Skalski. 2008. Evaluation of the 2007 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 1991-051-00, Contract 00035477.
- Volume XVIII:** Buchanan, R. A., J. R. Skalski, and K. Broms. 2008. Survival and Transportation Effects for Migrating Snake River Hatchery Chinook Salmon and Steelhead: Historical Estimates from 1996-2003. Technical report to BPA, Project 1991-051-00, Contract 00035477.
- Volume XIX:** Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, and J. R. Skalski. 2010. Evaluation of the 2009 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead Smolt to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville Dams using Program Real-Time. Technical Report to BPA, Project 1991-051-00, Contract 00035477.

Other Publications Related to this Series

Other related publications, reports and papers available through the professional literature or from the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) Public Information Center- CKPS-1, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97208.

1997

Townsend, R. L., D. Yasuda, and J. R. Skalski. 1997. Evaluation of the 1996 predictions of run-timing of wild migrant spring/summer yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report (DOE/BP-91572-1) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 91-BI-91572.

1996

Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, D. Yasuda, J. R. Skalski, and K. Ryding. 1996. Evaluation of the 1995 predictions of run-timing of wild migrant spring/summer yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using program RealTime. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-9) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 87-BI-35885.

1995

Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, D. Yasuda, and J. R. Skalski. 1995. Evaluation of the 1994 predictions of the run-timing of wild migrant yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-8) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 87-BI-35885.

1994

Skalski, J. R., G. Tartakovsky, S. G. Smith, P. Westhagen, and A. E. Giorgi. 1994. Pre-1994 season projection of run-timing capabilities using PIT-tag databases. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-7) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 87-BI-35885.

1993

Skalski, J. R., and A. E. Giorgi. 1993. A plan for estimating smolt travel time and survival in the Snake and Columbia Rivers. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-3) to PA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 87-BI-35885.

Smith, S. G., J. R. Skalski, and A. E. Giorgi. 1993. Statistical evaluation of travel time estimation based on data from freeze-branded Chinook salmon on the Snake River, 1982-1990. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-4) to BPA, Project 91-051-00, Contract 87-BI-35885.

Preface

Project 1991-051-00 was initiated in response to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the subsequent 1994 Council Fish and Wildlife Program (FWP) call for regional analytical methods for monitoring and evaluation. This project supports the need to have the "best available" scientific information accessible to the BPA, fisheries community, decision-makers, and public by analyzing historical tagging data to investigate smolt outmigration dynamics, salmonid life histories and productivity, and providing real-time analysis to monitor outmigration timing for use in water management and fish operations of the hydrosystem. Primary objectives and management implications of this project include: (1) to address the need for further synthesis of historical tagging and other biological information to improve understanding and identify future research and analysis needs; (2) to assist in the development of improved monitoring capabilities, statistical methodologies and software tools to aid management in optimizing operational and fish passage strategies to maximize the protection and survival of listed threatened and endangered Snake River salmon populations and other listed and non-listed stocks in the Columbia River Basin; (3) to develop better analysis tools for monitoring evaluation programs; and (4) to provide statistical support to the Bonneville Power Administration and the Northwest fisheries community.

The following report addresses measure 4.3C of the 1994 Northwest Power Planning Council's Fish and Wildlife Program with emphasis on improved monitoring and evaluation of smolt migration in the Columbia River Basin. This report represents the seventeenth in a series of technical reports presenting results of applications of statistical program RealTime to present inseason predictions of the status of smolt migrations in the Columbia River Basin. Results and evaluation of program RealTime 2010 predictions of the run-timing of wild and hatchery-reared salmon and steelhead to Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams are presented. It is hoped that making these real-time predictions and supporting data available on the internet for use by the Technical Management Team (TMT) and members of the fisheries community will contribute to effective inseason population monitoring and assist inseason management of river and fisheries resources. Having the capability to more accurately predict smolt outmigration status improves the ability to match flow augmentation to the migration timing of ESA listed and other salmonid stocks and also contributes to the regional goal of increasing juvenile passage survival through the Columbia River system.

Abstract

Program RealTime provided monitoring and forecasting of the 2010 inseason outmigrations via the internet for selected PIT-tagged stocks of wild ESU Chinook salmon and steelhead to Lower Granite and/or McNary dams, one PIT-tagged hatchery-reared ESU of sockeye salmon to Lower Granite Dam, one PIT-tagged wild stock of sockeye salmon to McNary Dam, and 25 passage-indexed runs-at-large, five each to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams. Sixteen stocks are of wild yearling Chinook salmon which were captured, PIT-tagged, and released at sites above Lower Granite Dam in 2009 and have at least three years' historical migration data previous to the 2010 migration. These stocks originate in tributaries of the Salmon, Grande Ronde and Clearwater Rivers, all tributaries to the Snake River, and are subsequently detected through tag identification and monitored at Lower Granite Dam.

Seven wild PIT-tagged runs-at-large of Snake or Upper Columbia River ESU salmon and steelhead were monitored at McNary Dam. Two wild PIT-tagged runs-at-large were monitored at Lower Granite Dam, consisting of the Snake River yearling Chinook salmon and the steelhead runs. The hatchery-reared PIT-tagged sockeye salmon stock from Redfish Lake was monitored outmigrating through Lower Granite Dam. Passage-indexed stocks (stocks monitored by FPC passage indices) included combined wild and hatchery runs-at-large of subyearling and yearling Chinook, coho, and sockeye salmon, and steelhead forecasted to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams.

Executive Summary

2010 Objectives

1. Apply Program RealTime to provide inseason predictions of the run-timing of Fish Passage Center (FPC) passage-index counts of runs-at-large of subyearling and yearling Chinook salmon, sockeye salmon, and coho salmon and steelhead to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams (25 stocks total) and to provide inseason predictions of the run-timing of PIT-tagged stocks to Lower Granite and McNary dams (27 runs total). The PIT-tagged stocks include 17 wild runs-at-large of yearling and subyearling Chinook salmon, and one hatchery-reared stock of sockeye salmon from Redfish Lake Hatchery. Specific tasks were to predict and report in real time the “percent run-to-date” and “date to specified percentiles” of the outmigrations to the dams.
2. Post online predictions on outmigration status and trends in order to improve inseason population monitoring information available for use by the Technical Management Team and the fisheries community to assist river management.

Accomplishments

Runs-at-large of FPC passage indices of combined hatchery and wild salmon and steelhead were monitored and forecasted by Program RealTime in 2010 to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams. Runs-at-large of wild PIT-tagged salmon and steelhead were monitored and forecasted by Program RealTime in 2010 to Lower Granite and McNary dams. These runs included Snake River steelhead, Upper Columbia steelhead, the composite of these two steelhead runs, Snake River yearling Chinook salmon, Snake River sockeye salmon, Snake River subyearling Chinook salmon, and Upper Columbia River subyearling Chinook salmon. The release/recovery stocks of wild PIT-tagged yearling Chinook salmon tracked to Lower Granite Dam included Big Creek, Catherine Creek, Imnaha River, Imnaha Trap, Johnson Creek Trap, Lake Creek, Lemhi River, Lemhi River Weir, Lolo Creek, Lookingglass Creek, Lostine River, Minam River, Newsome Creek, Secesh River, Secesh River Trap, and Valley Creek (16 total). One release/recovery stocks of wild PIT-tagged subyearling Chinook salmon tracked to Lower Granite Dam and released into the mainstem Snake River. The release/recovery stock of hatchery-reared PIT-tagged sockeye salmon tracked to Lower Granite Dam was Redfish Lake.

Since 1999, unmarked hatchery salmon have been released into the Snake River. To provide run-timing information on wild runs-at-large since then, the RealTime forecasting project has monitored and forecasted wild, PIT-tagged subpopulations of salmon and steelhead to Lower Granite Dam, and beginning in 2001, to McNary Dam.

Online run-timing predictions were provided via the Internet at www.cbr.washington.edu/realtime to the fisheries community throughout each smolt outmigration. The types of graphical displays available for each stock in the RealTime project are included throughout this report. Also available online are

detailed tabular displays of historical run-timing information and expected rates of detection for each stock.

Findings

Program RealTime performance is evaluated using MADs (*mean absolute differences*, the average of the absolute difference between predicted and true passage percentiles), calculated for the first and last halves of the outmigration, and for the season-wide outmigration.

The run-at-large of wild PIT-tagged Snake River yearling Chinook salmon smolts monitored at McNary Dam was predicted very well in 2010, with a season-wide MAD of 2.4%, and to Lower Granite Dam with a MAD = 2.3%. Five of sixteen stocks had a season-wide MAD larger than 10%. The larger prediction errors in 2010 are mostly due to fewer detections than expected historically.

RealTime predictions of the run-timing of wild PIT-tagged Snake River steelhead to Lower Granite and McNary Dams equivalent to last year (season-wide MADs of 5.3% and 4.7%, respectively, compared to 4.5% and 6.1% last year). Upper Columbia River steelhead outmigrating to McNary Dam were worse this year (9.3% vs. 5.1% last year) as were the combined Snake River and Upper Columbia River run (18.5% vs. 4.8% last year), due to a much shorter run than usual, ending 2 July 2010.

The monitoring and forecasting at McNary Dam of the run of wild PIT-tagged Snake River sockeye salmon was much better in 2010, with a season-wide MAD of 3.1% versus 8.8% last year. The season-wide MAD for PIT-tagged hatchery sockeye salmon from Redfish Lake was 5.2%, up from the 2.0% last year.

Wild PIT-tagged Snake River subyearling Chinook had comparable MADs at both Lower Granite Dam (season-wide MAD = 5.5% versus last year's 3.1%), and McNary (4.9% this year vs. 3.7% last year). The run of wild PIT-tagged Upper Columbia subyearling Chinook salmon monitored at McNary Dam had season-wide MAD of 5.9%.

The results of Program RealTime in forecasting run-timing and passage percentiles of FPC passage-indexed runs-at-large to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville Dams were excellent this year, with the exception of the sockeye and subyearling Chinook forecasts at Rock Island Dam (12.5% and 18.14%, respectively). In particular, 6 of 25 stocks had season-wide MADs above 5%; 9 were between 3-5%, 2 had MADs 2-3%; and 8 had season-wide MADs within 2% of the true end-of season distribution.

Management Implications

The ability to accurately predict the outmigration status of composite or individual salmon and steelhead stocks at different locations in the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) can provide valuable information to assist water managers. Since the 1994 outmigration, Program RealTime has been applied to provide inseason predictions of smolt outmigration timing for individual and aggregates of listed threatened and endangered Snake River salmon stocks, and, since 2000, of listed Mid-Columbia River stocks. These predictions have been made publicly available to the fisheries community to assist inseason river management in real time throughout the course of the smolt outmigration.

Table of Contents

Preface	v
Abstract	vi
Executive Summary.....	vii
2010 Objectives	vii
Accomplishments	vii
Findings	viii
Management Implications	ix
Acknowledgments	xiii
1.0 Introduction	14
2.0 Methods	16
2.1 Description of Data	16
2.1.1 PIT-Tagged Stocks.....	16
2.1.2 Fish Passage Center (FPC) Passage-Indexed Stocks.....	18
2.2 Preprocessing of Data	18
2.3 Adjustment of Raw Smolt Counts for Spill or Flow.....	19
2.3.1 PIT-Tagged Stocks.....	19
2.3.2 FPC Passage-Indexed Stocks	20
2.4 The RealTime Forecaster	20
2.4.1 Models and Algorithm	20
2.4.2 Precision of Estimator: Confidence Intervals for \hat{P}	24
2.4.3 Evaluating RealTime Performance	24
3.0 Results	25
3.1 Wild ESUs	25
3.1.1 PIT-Tagged Yearling Chinook Salmon.....	25
3.1.2 PIT-Tagged Steelhead.....	27
3.1.3 PIT-Tagged Sockeye Salmon.....	27
3.1.4 PIT-tagged Subyearling Chinook Salmon.....	28
3.2 Hatchery-Reared ESUs	29
3.3 Combined Wild and Hatchery Runs-at-Large.....	30
4.0 Discussion	31
5.0 Recommendations	33
6.0 Literature Cited.....	33
7.0 Appendix	38

List of Tables

Table 2.1: The GIS hydro-units of the 16 PIT-tag/release sites for spring/summer yearling Chinook, 1 release of trapped subyearling Chinook salmon sampled, PIT-tagged, and released into the Snake River between river kilometers 224 and 268, and 1 release of sockeye salmon. These are all the individual release sites included in the 2010 Program RealTime forecasting project, monitored at Lower Granite Dam.	17
Table 2.2: Migration status at Lower Granite and McNary dams was monitored and forecasted for the indicated PIT-tagged, wild species released in the Snake River drainage, Upper Columbia River, or combination of the two. An “X” indicates that that group was included in 2010.	18
Table 2.3: Data used by Program RealTime in 2010 to compute initial predictions (Equation 2.5), for PIT-tagged, release-recovery stocks. Only years that had ≥ 100 counts were used by the program. The number of PIT-tagged parr released by site (N), the historical average of annual recapture percentage for each site (\bar{F}), and the expected number of detections for the 2010 migration year.	22
Table 2.4: Data used by Program RealTime in 2010 to compute predictions (Equation 2.5) for index-count stocks at the beginning of the migration. Average historical observed counts of index-count stocks (runs-at-large) monitored and forecasted by RealTime in 2009 are used to predict current year expected numbers of counts, $\widehat{E(S)}$, (Section 2.4.1) using the run percentage (RP) model.	23
Table 3.1: Mean absolute differences (MADs, Section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of 16 wild PIT-tagged Snake River spring/summer, spring, and summer yearling Chinook salmon ESUs. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run. All sites met the RealTime historical data criteria.	25
Table 3.2: Comparison of observed versus expected total (spill-adjusted) fish detected (columns 1 and 2) at Lower Granite Dam for each release-recovery stock of yearling Chinook salmon stocks monitored by Program RealTime in 2010, and comparison of observed versus historical average recapture percentages (columns 3 and 4). Average recapture percentages are fundamental to making initial fish passage predictions (Section 2.4). All but 1 stock showed lower-than-average recapture percentages (less than expected fish) in 2010.	26
Table 3.3: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigration to Lower Granite and McNary dams, of the PIT-tagged population of wild Snake River spring/summer yearling Chinook salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.	26
Table 3.4: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations of the PIT-tagged subpopulations of wild Snake and Upper Columbia Rivers steelhead detected at Lower Granite and McNary Dams. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.	27
Table 3.5: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to McNary Dam of the PIT-tagged population of wild Snake River sockeye salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.	27
Table 3.6: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of PIT-tagged populations of wild Snake River fall subyearling Chinook salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.	28
Table 3.7: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations of PIT-tagged populations of wild Snake River fall subyearling Chinook salmon and wild Upper Columbia River subyearling Chinook salmon monitored at McNary Dam. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.	28

Table 3.8: Mean absolute deviations (MADs, section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of the PIT-tagged hatchery-reared sockeye from Redfish Lake. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.29

Table 3.9: Mean absolute deviations (MADs, Section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Rock Island, Lower Granite Dam, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams of FPC passage indices of the combined wild and hatchery runs-at-large of salmon and steelhead. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.....30

Table 4.1: Comparison of expected number of detections for passage indices and the observed numbers for all index-count stocks monitored by Program RealTime in 2010.32

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Spill effectiveness (SE) functions (Equations 2.2a, b, c) used by Program RealTime to upwardly adjust raw PIT-tag detections. Shown are the 2006 RealTime spill effectiveness curves as functions of spill proportion (S/F, the proportion of spill, S, relative to outflow, F) at Lower Granite Dam (red, blue) and at McNary Dam (black).20

Acknowledgments

We wish to express thanks to the many fisheries agencies, Tribes, and other institutions that have expended considerable resources in the generation, assembly, analysis and sharing of Columbia River biological, hydrologic, operational and other related information. Deserving particular thanks are the staff of the agencies and Tribes responsible for conducting the annual Columbia River Smolt Monitoring Program, the Fish Passage Center, and the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission PIT-Tag Information System (PTAGIS), primary database centers for providing timely inseason access to fish passage and PIT-tag information; and the University of Washington second-tier database DART (Data Access in Real Time) information system which receives, processes, and provides access to biological, hydrologic, and operational information via the internet.

Appreciation is extended to Chris Van Holmes and Susannah Iltis of the School of Aquatic & Fishery Sciences at the University of Washington for providing critical technical, data management, and computer programming support.

Funding support for this work came from the Pacific Northwest region's electrical ratepayers through the Columbia River Fish and Wildlife Program administered by the Bonneville Power Administration, project number 1991-051-00.

1.0 Introduction

Regulating the timing and volume of water released from storage reservoirs (often referred to as flow augmentation) has become a central mitigation strategy for improving downstream migration conditions for juvenile salmonids in the Columbia River Basin. Snake River and Upper Columbia River water managers have used flow augmentation to improve the outmigration survival of stocks listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Timing the release of water so that the listed stocks are in place to encounter these augmented flows requires knowledge of the status and trend of the stocks' outmigration timing.

In 1993, work was begun under this project to develop real-time predictions of smolt outmigration dynamics for ESA-listed stocks from the Snake and Columbia Rivers. Program RealTime was developed as a statistical software program which predicts run-timing of individual stocks of salmonids (Skalski et al. 1994). It uses historical data to predict the percentage of the outmigration that will reach an index site in real-time, and it forecasts the elapsed time until some future percentage is observed at that site. The first inseason predictions were of wild spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts from the Snake River drainage above Lower Granite Dam during the 1994 outmigration. These fish originate in streams listed by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) as evolutionarily/ecologically significant units (ESUs). As parr, a portion of these fish are annually implanted with passive integrated transponder (PIT, Prentice et al., 1990a, b, c) tags, and released back into their natal streams (Achord et al., 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 2000) where they overwinter until their outmigration as yearlings in the spring and summer. During outmigration, PIT-tag detectors at Lower Granite Dam read the tag codes so individual stocks can be monitored.

Since 1994, the RealTime forecasting project has expanded its scope to monitor and forecast other NMFS-listed populations of Columbia River Basin salmonids. In 1997, Program RealTime began forecasting the run-timing of hatchery-reared PIT-tagged summer-run sockeye salmon released into remote lakes and streams in Idaho over 700 kilometers upriver from Lower Granite Dam. *Release-recovery* data was used for the first migration forecasts by RealTime, and beginning with the 1997 migration year, Program RealTime was adapted to utilize *index-count* data such as Fish Passage Center (FPC) passage indices (e.g., FPC, 1999). The distinction between these two types of data is important for understanding how RealTime makes initial predictions early in the season, and are described in detail in the models section (Section 2.4.1). Release-recovery counts consist only of those detections of fish that are identified as part of a specific release group, i.e., fish with PIT-tags identifying their release to a specific time or place (or both). By contrast, index-count stock data consist of all detections at the dam of a particular species, regardless of their release details, i.e., regardless of when or where they were released. Index-count stocks using FPC passage indices were included in the RealTime project to provide run-timing forecasts for wild runs-at-large of yearling and subyearling Chinook salmon and steelhead to Lower Granite Dam. These runs were predicted with considerable accuracy (Townsend et al. 1998, Burgess et al. 1999) but were

discontinued in 1999 and 2000 when hatcheries ceased their practice of marking their fish to distinguish them from wild fish (Burgess et al. 1999). To continue providing run-timing information on wild Snake River runs-at-large of yearling and subyearling Chinook salmon and steelhead, the RealTime project began to monitor PIT-tagged wild fish. The first such stock was a release-recovery stock of wild subyearling fall Chinook tagged for doctoral research by William Connor (Burgess et al., 1999), a subpopulation whose run-timing characteristics were believed to mimic those of the larger wild population. In 2000, RealTime began monitoring two wild index-count stocks of PIT-tagged salmon and wild steelhead at Lower Granite Dam, and in 2001, seven new such stocks were monitored at McNary Dam, including runs from the Upper Columbia River as well as the Snake River, reflecting concern about water management during a predicted drought year (Burgess and Skalski, 2001).

While releasing unmarked hatchery fish into the Snake River spelled the demise of the RealTime project's capability of monitoring wild runs-at-large to Lower Granite (because hatchery releases swamp the signature passage patterns of wild fish), the same is not true for all Columbia River Basin dams. In 2000, the RealTime project began monitoring and forecasting runs-at-large of combined hatchery and wild salmon and steelhead to Rock Island Dam on the upper Columbia River and to McNary Dam on the mainstem Columbia. For these forecasts, Program RealTime used FPC passage indices. In 2001, out of concern about passage status in a low flow year, the run-at-large of combined wild and hatchery subyearling fall Chinook salmon was monitored and forecasted to John Day Dam on the Columbia River, using FPC passage indices (Burgess and Skalski 2001). In 2002, we expanded RealTime's John Day forecasting to include all species of salmonid, and added Bonneville Dam in 2004.

This report presents a post-season analysis of Program RealTime performance for 2010. RealTime predictions are compared with end-of-season observed distributions of passage indices or PIT-tag detections at Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams. During the outmigration season, predictions were accessible daily, via the internet at address <http://www.cbr.washington.edu/realtime>. The website's end-of-season graphical and tabular displays of all Program RealTime results are now archived for all years since 1995 on the website. The archives contain the daily record of RealTime predictions compared with the end-of-season observed distributions for all runs monitored by Program RealTime, the graphical and tabular displays of historical run-timing characteristics, including the dates of the first and last detections of the season, and dates of the 5th, 10th, 50th, 90th and 95th percentiles of passage, the middle 80% passage period (in days), the total numbers of fish counted inseason annually, and for the release-recovery stocks, the expected number of annual detections. Historical daily flow, spill, and spill-adjustment parameters (Section 2.4) are available as well.

2.0 Methods

2.1 Description of Data

2.1.1 PIT-Tagged Stocks

Release-recapture Stocks

PIT-tag data are made available by the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission's PIT Tag Information System (PTAGIS) project. Initially, specific PIT-tagged releases were selected by potential interest to the community, large release size, and having a consistent number of annual releases. PIT-tagging has become more wide-spread, and used in smaller, infrequent release studies since then. Further exploration and analysis of the RealTime model applied to these studies has resulted in changes to selection of specific release groups. The outmigration status is now monitored and forecasted at Lower Granite for release sites of PIT-tag release sites that have at least 3 historical years of data, and an expected detection at Lower Granite of 100 fish. In 2010, PIT-tag releases of 16 yearling Chinook salmon, one subyearling Chinook, and one release site of hatchery sockeye salmon were forecasted. These are grouped together under **Release-Recover PIT-Tagged Data** in this report (Table 2.1) and on the website.

Index-Count PIT-tagged Stocks

Composite stocks of run-at-large groups pose a challenge in estimating the outmigration status at a dam. While analyses of individual releases could provide a historical percentage of the release size observed at a dam, these individual releases are usually quite small and variable. In addition, release sizes change annually, further muddling the contribution each group adds to the expected number of total fish to be observed at a dam. Instead of focusing on the total number of fish released, index-count stocks estimate the status of the outmigration upon the number of fish observed at a dam compared to the total expected to be observed, based on historical counts. For example, a release-recapture stock may have 10% of the total released historically appear at Lower Granite Dam; so of 1000 fish released this year, we would expect that 100 fish total will show up. For an index-count stock, we don't know what percent of the fish released has been observed historically, but do know that on average, 100 total fish have been counted, and so expect the same again this year.

Run-at-large composites were created for a number of species. Each composite consists of PIT-tagged wild fish released in either the Snake River drainage or the Upper Columbia River. PIT-tagged wild fall subyearling Chinook salmon were monitored at Lower Granite and McNary dams to provide run-timing information about the wild run-at-large of Snake River fall subyearling Chinook salmon, as FPC passage indices for the wild run were unavailable after June 6, 1999 (Burgess et al., 1999). Since 1993, subyearling fall Chinook salmon smolts have been sampled, PIT-tagged, and released into the Snake River between river kilometers 224 and 268. These smolts are tagged and released at regular intervals, from April into July or until water temperatures approach 20°C or catch counts near zero. They begin to appear

in the detection facility at Lower Granite Dam around June 1 and continue through September or October. This subpopulation mimics passage of the run-at-large well during the first and middle portions of the run.

Table 2.2 lists the species run-at-large composites were monitored at Lower Granite and McNary Dams, and are grouped together under **Run-of-River PIT-Tagged Data** on the website.

Table 2.1: The GIS hydro-units of the 16 PIT-tag/release sites for spring/summer yearling Chinook, 1 release of trapped subyearling Chinook salmon sampled, PIT-tagged, and released into the Snake River between river kilometers 224 and 268, and 1 release of sockeye salmon. These are all the individual release sites included in the 2010 Program RealTime forecasting project, monitored at Lower Granite Dam.

Release Site		Rearing	Run	Species	GIS Hydrounit ¹
Abbreviation	Long Name				
BIG2C	Big Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060206
CATHEC	Catherine Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060104
IMNAHR	Imnaha River	W	Sp	Chinook	17060102
IMNTRP	Imnaha Trap	W	Su	Chinook	17060102
JOHTRP	Johnson Creek Trap	W	Su	Chinook	17060208
LAKEC	Lake Creek Trap	W	Su	Chinook	17060208
LEMHIR	Lemhi River	W	Sp	Chinook	17060204
LEMHIW	Lemhi River Weir	W	Sp	Chinook	17060204
LOLOC	Lolo Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060306
LOOKGC	Lookingglass Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060104
LOSTIR	Lostine River	W	Sp	Chinook	17060105
MINAMR	Minam River	W	Sp	Chinook	17060106
NEWSOC	Newsome Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060305
REDFL	Redfish Lake	H	Sp	Sockeye	17060201
SECESR	Secesh River	W	Su	Chinook	17060208
SECTRP	Secesh River Trap	W	Su	Chinook	17060208
VALEYC	Valley Creek	W	Sp	Chinook	17060201
	Trapped Snake River	W	Fall	Chinook	

¹ Geographical Information System (GIS) designations established by the U.S. Geological Survey.

Table 2.2: Migration status at Lower Granite and McNary dams was monitored and forecasted for the indicated PIT-tagged, wild species released in the Snake River drainage, Upper Columbia River, or combination of the two. An “X” indicates that that group was included in 2010.

Species	Composite Run-at-Large	Detection Site	
		Lower Granite Dam	McNary Dam
Yearling Chinook salmon	Snake River	X	X
Steelhead	Snake River	X	X
	Upper Columbia River		X
	Combined		X
Sockeye salmon	Snake River		X
Subyearling Chinook salmon	Snake River ²		X
	Upper Columbia River		X

2.1.2 Fish Passage Center (FPC) Passage-Indexed Stocks

Passage index data were made available by the Northwest Power and Conservation Council’s (NWPPCC) Fish Passage Center (FPC). Passage indices are sample counts in the bypass system at the dam divided by the proportion of water passing through the sampling system. They are collected according to FPC sampling plans (e.g., Fish Passage Center, 1999), and are intended to reflect the size of the run. All FPC passage-indexed stocks are index-count stock. Timing characteristics of these runs of mid-Columbia and mainstem Columbia River yearling and subyearling Chinook salmon, coho, and sockeye salmon and steelhead runs were monitored and forecasted to Rock Island, Lower Granite, McNary, John Day and Bonneville dams. The migration status can be very accurately predicted, provided large hatchery releases do not overwhelm the normal signature pattern of fish passage run-timing (Burgess and Skalski, 2000). These runs are grouped under **FPC Index Data** on the website.

2.2 Preprocessing of Data

Raw PIT-tag detections are adjusted for spill fraction (Section 2.3) and smoothed using three 5-day smoothing passes to filter out statistical randomness before input to the RealTime forecaster algorithm. Raw passage index data are smoothed the same as PIT-data.

² The subyearling Chinook run-at-large composite migration forecasts at Lower Granite Dam also used fish PIT-tagged and released into the Snake River between river kilometers 224 and 268, and which was not an *index-count* stock.

2.3 Adjustment of Raw Smolt Counts for Spill or Flow.

2.3.1 PIT-Tagged Stocks

PIT-tagged stocks are detected at a dam by passing through a PIT-tag interrogation system, usually set up in bypass routes. However, this is not the only route past a dam—fish that pass through the spillway are not detected, so formulas are devised to upwardly adjust the raw counts of PIT-detections. To get an estimate of the total fish passing through a dam on a particular day. Daily numbers of fish detected, “raw counts,” are multiplied by an expansion factor, resulting in “adjusted counts” according to the formula raw counts x expansion factor = adjusted counts.

The expansion factor is

$$\frac{1}{1 - SE}, \quad (2.1)$$

where SE is *spill effectiveness*, the fraction of smolts passing through the spillway (NMFS 2000). Different formulations for SE are required for different species of salmonids (Skalski and Perez-Comas 1998) and for different dam configurations (NMFS 2000). The formula for spill effectiveness for Chinook and sockeye salmon at Lower Granite Dam is given by Smith et al. (1993) as

$$SE_{chinook_sockeye} = 1.667 \left(\frac{S}{F} \right)^3 - 3.25 \left(\frac{S}{F} \right)^2 + 2.583 \left(\frac{S}{F} \right) \quad (2.2a)$$


(Figure 2.1, red), and the formula for steelhead is given by Skalski and Perez-Comas (1998) as

$$SE_{steelhead} = 0.6001 \exp \left(-0.5063 \cdot \log \left(\frac{S/F}{1 - S/F} \right) \right). \quad (2.2b)$$

In the figure, S is the daily volume of water spilled and F is daily outflow volume. For 2000, the formulation of SE as a function of spill proportion at McNary Dam was a one-to-one function (NMFS 2000) of SE to spill proportion (i.e., the volume of water spilled divided by volume of outflow) (Figure 2.1, black),

$$SE = \frac{S}{F} = \text{spill volume} / \text{flow volume} = \text{spill proportion}. \quad (2.2c)$$

Figure 2.1: Spill effectiveness (SE) functions (Equations 2.2a, b, c) used by Program RealTime to upwardly adjust raw PIT-tag detections. Shown are the 2006 RealTime spill effectiveness curves as functions of spill proportion (S/F, the proportion of spill, S, relative to outflow, F) at Lower Granite Dam (red, blue) and at McNary Dam (black).



2.3.2 FPC Passage-Indexed Stocks

Raw passage index data are adjusted for the spill fraction by the Fish Passage Center.

2.4 The RealTime Forecaster

2.4.1 Models and Algorithm

The RealTime forecaster is essentially a pattern-matching algorithm. However, at the beginning of the outmigration there is very little in the way of a pattern to match. To optimize predictions for all phases of the outmigration, the forecaster utilizes three models: a start-up model for initial predictions, the pattern-matching model, and a switching model to govern the timing of the switch between the start-up and pattern-matching models.

The pattern-matching portion is accomplished by a least-squares (LS) model, where the patterns are cumulative percentage curves of outmigrating smolts. Current-year data are compared with historical cumulative percentage curves by comparing their slopes at each percentile, $j = 1, \dots, 100$, using the measure

$$\sum_j (s_j - s_{ijp})^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where s_j is the slope at the j^{th} percentile of current-year data to-date and s_{ijp} is slope at the j^{th} percentile of p percent of historical year i 's outmigration. The value p of that minimizes (2.3), i.e.,

$$p \left[\sum_{j=1}^{100} (s_j - s_{ijp})^2 \right], \quad p = 0, \dots, 100 \quad (2.4)$$

is the best predictor from the point of view of pattern-matching to historical year i .

The start-up model produces run-percentage (RP) estimates

$$P_{RP} = \frac{x_d}{E(S)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where x_d = total number of fish observed by day d of the outmigration, and

$\widehat{E(S)}$ = the total expected outmigration through the detection facility.

How the expected total migration is estimated depends on the type of data. For tagged stocks that have reliable annual release/recapture data (i.e., the 19 release-recovery stocks monitored at Lower Granite Dam, Section 2.1.1), $\widehat{E(S)} = \bar{r} \times N$, where \bar{r} is the average annual historical recapture percentage³ at the detection facility, and N is total number of fish released from a release site the previous year (for yearling Chinook salmon) or earlier in the year (for subyearling Chinook and sockeye salmon). Table 2.3 displays N , \bar{r} , and $\widehat{E(S)}$ for each release-recovery stock. For index-count data such as FPC passage indices and PIT-tagged aggregates (Section 2.1.1), $\widehat{E(S)}$ is the average number of historical detections. Table 2.4 displays expected observed counts for each index-count stock. The RP estimates (2.5), are more accurate than LS (pattern-matching) estimates (2.4) initially, but are quickly outperformed by LS model as the season progresses (Townsend et al. 1995, 1996, 1997).

The switching model is an age-of-run (AR) model based on mean fish-run-age (MFRA). This switching model weights the predictions from the LS and RP models differentially as the outmigration season progresses. Thus, each model provides its unique estimate for the true passage percentile for the day, and the algorithm minimizes a complex formula weighting estimates from each model and their respective error calculations (see Burgess et al. 1998 for complete algorithm details). The forecaster effectively combines age-of-run (AR) and run percentage (RP) indicators together with the least-squares (LS) pattern-matching principle into a single, more accurate and robust predictor.

³ Annual recapture percentage is the number of unique fish detected divided by the total number released.

Table 2.3: Data used by Program RealTime in 2010 to compute initial predictions (Equation 2.5), for PIT-tagged, release-recovery stocks⁴. Only years that had ≥ 100 counts were used by the program. The number of PIT-tagged parr released by site (N), the historical average of annual recapture percentage for each site (\bar{r}), and the expected number of detections for the 2010 migration year.

Tagging Location	# parr released (N)	Avg. Historical % (\bar{r})	# Historical Years with > 100 detections	$\hat{E}(S)$
Big Creek Yearling Chinook	6,951	9.0	10	624
Catherine Creek Yearling Chinook	2,321	8.3	8	192
Imnaha River Yearling Chinook	1,000	12.9	4	129
Imnaha Trap Yearling Chinook	9,444	14.7	14	1,385
Johnson Creek Trap Yearling Chinook	6,395	11.4	11	731
Lake Creek Yearling Chinook	2,208	9.7	8	215
Lemhi River Yearling Chinook	1,062	11	4	117
Lemhi River Weir Yearling Chinook	618	16.7	11	103
Lolo Creek Yearling Chinook	1,098	13.4	9	147
Lookingglass Creek Yearling Chinook	2,917	9.1	8	265
Lostine River Yearling Chinook	2,597	12.5	10	324
Minam River Yearling Chinook	1,930	12.1	8	234
Newsome Creek Yearling Chinook	2,187	8.8	4	193
Redfish Lake Hatchery Sockeye	1,016	3.1	13	32
Secesh River Yearling Chinook	3,222	9.2	14	295
Secesh River Trap Yearling Chinook	8,642	11.6	4	1,007
Valley Creek Yearling Chinook	2,516	6.8	4	171
Wild Trapped Snake River Subyrg Chinook	14,444	18.1	17	2,611

⁴ Data Sources: PTAGIS and FPC Smolt Index Databases and RealTime program output as of December 2010

Table 2.4: Data used by Program RealTime in 2010 to compute predictions (Equation 2.5) for index-count stocks at the beginning of the migration. Average historical observed counts⁵ of index-count stocks (runs-at-large) monitored and forecasted by RealTime in 2009 are used to predict current year expected numbers of counts, $\widehat{E}(S)$, (Section 2.4.1) using the run percentage (RP) model.

Rearing	Type of Data	Predicted Passage at	Stock	$\widehat{E}(S)$
Wild	PIT-tag	Lower Granite Dam	Snake River Spring/Summer Yearling Chinook	7,653
			Snake River Steelhead Salmon	5,504
		McNary Dam	Snake River Yearling Chinook Salmon	7,096
			Snake River Steelhead Salmon	2,392
			Upper Columbia River Steelhead	314
			Snake & Upper Columbia River Steelhead	2,658
			Snake River Sockeye Salmon	434
			Snake River Subyearling Chinook Salmon	345
			Upper Columbia River Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,637
			Combined Wild & Hatchery	FPC Passage Indices
Steelhead	25,528			
Coho Salmon	41,139			
Sockeye Salmon	17,639			
Subyearling Chinook Salmon	23,279			
Lower Granite Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2,768,458		
	Steelhead	4,987,245		
	Coho Salmon	125,751		
	Sockeye Salmon	21,612		
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	416,931		
McNary Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2,300,846		
	Steelhead	682,721		
	Coho Salmon	203,644		
	Sockeye Salmon	588,468		
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	6,750,452		
John Day Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	1,198,017		
	Steelhead	654,604		
	Coho Salmon	229,336		
	Sockeye Salmon	267,428		
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,676,088		
Bonneville Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	1,295,086		
	Steelhead	444,409		
	Coho Salmon	873,645		
	Sockeye Salmon	209,586		
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,581,592		

⁵ Data Sources: PTAGIS and FPC Smolt Index Databases and RealTime program output as of December 2010

2.4.2 Precision of Estimator: Confidence Intervals for \hat{P}

Each day of the run, a jackknife confidence interval is constructed for the daily prediction estimate, \hat{P} (Section 2.4.1). Jackknifing is a computer-intensive method of extracting sampling distribution information about an estimator by recomputing the estimator from different subsets of the historical data. A jackknife subset consists of the complete set of historical years minus one year. If a release site has, say, six years of historical data, there will be 6 subsets of 5 years each. A prediction is estimated from each subset, and these jackknife predictions provide a measure of dispersion on which the daily confidence interval is based.

2.4.3 Evaluating RealTime Performance

The true outmigration percentile on day, P_d , can only be observed after the run is finished and all the fish that will be detected have passed (i.e., $P_{last} = 100\%$). When the run is over, we evaluate program RealTime's performance using the mean absolute difference (MAD) between observed outmigration percentiles, P_d , and their estimates, \hat{P}_d , for all days, d , until both predicted and observed runs are at 100%:

$$MAD = \frac{\sum_{d=1}^n |\hat{P}_d - P_d|}{d} \times 100\%$$

where n is the total number of days from the appearance of the first fish to the day where both the observed and predicted run has reached 100%. This is a slight change from previous years, but more accurately reflects those occasions where Program RealTime has continued to forecast less than 100% passage at a dam after the last fish has, in fact, been observed for the current migration season. Historical MADs presented in this report have been updated to reflect this change, and to give legitimate comparisons to past performance.

3.0 Results

3.1 Wild ESUs

3.1.1 PIT-Tagged Yearling Chinook Salmon

Release-Recovery Stocks Monitored at Lower Granite Dam

Table 3.1 displays MADs for the yearling Chinook salmon release/recovery stocks tracked at Lower Granite Dam, the average MADs of all these stocks. Four stocks were added this year (Lemhi River Weir, Lolo Creek, Newsome Creek, Secesh River and Secesh River Trap). Three stocks (Big Creek, Lostine River and Minam River) had smaller MADs than last year. The mean first-half MAD over all 16 spring/summer Chinook salmon release/recovery stocks was 7.19%, the mean last-half MAD was 10.27%, and the mean season-wide MAD was 8.93 %. These larger MADs are largely due to the fewer than expected detections at Lower Granite Dam (Table 3.2). Imnaha Trap had only 20% of the expected fish detected (278 of 1385) and Valley Creek had 22% (37 of 171).

Table 3.1: Mean absolute differences (MADs, Section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of 16 wild PIT-tagged Snake River spring/summer, spring, and summer yearling Chinook salmon ESUs. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run. All sites met the RealTime historical data criteria.

Stock	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Big Creek Yearling Chinook	8.00	3.28	10.55	5.78	2.35	6.69
Catherine Creek Yearling Chinook	3.51	3.59	3.44	4.40	4.94	4.01
Imnaha River Yearling Chinook	6.40	4.80	7.65	10.37	11.24	10.02
Imnaha Trap Yearling Chinook	8.85	14.52	5.58	28.64	3.27	49.78
Johnson Creek Trap Yearling Chinook	2.95	1.95	3.40	3.35	5.44	2.68
Lake Creek Yearling Chinook	2.00	3.44	1.42	6.78	6.61	6.81
Lemhi River Weir Yearling Chinook	NA	NA	NA	14.13	13.10	14.31
Lemhi River Yearling Chinook	4.31	1.92	6.12	5.20	3.01	5.41
Lolo Creek Yearling Chinook	NA	NA	NA	3.03	3.75	2.73
Lookingglass Creek Yearling Chinook	8.63	8.70	8.58	8.94	5.55	10.33
Lostine River Yearling Chinook	8.23	6.67	9.06	7.49	8.82	7.03
Minam River Yearling Chinook	8.54	9.81	7.31	7.75	8.60	7.52
Newsome Creek Yearling Chinook	NA	NA	NA	3.30	5.97	2.46
Secesh River Trap Yearling Chinook	NA	NA	NA	12.16	11.67	12.22
Secesh River Yearling Chinook	NA	NA	NA	3.91	8.62	2.97
Valley Creek Yearling Chinook	3.52	2.75	3.94	17.71	12.16	19.31
Mean MAD	5.90	5.58	6.10	8.93	7.19	10.27

Table 3.2: Comparison of observed versus expected total (spill-adjusted) fish detected (columns 1 and 2) at Lower Granite Dam for each release-recovery stock of yearling Chinook salmon stocks monitored by Program RealTime in 2010, and comparison of observed versus historical average recapture percentages (columns 3 and 4). Average recapture percentages are fundamental to making initial fish passage predictions (Section 2.4). All but 1 stock showed lower-than-average recapture percentages (less than expected fish) in 2010.

Tagging Location	Observed # Detections	Expected # Detections $\overline{E(S)}$	Observed Recapture %	Average Historical % \bar{r}
Big Creek	500	624	7.2	9.0
Catherine Creek	85	192	3.7	8.3
Imnaha River	35	129	3.5	12.9
Imnaha Trap	278	1,385	2.9	14.7
Johnson Creek Trap	400	731	6.3	11.4
Lake Creek	74	215	3.4	9.7
Lemhi River	72	117	6.8	11.0
Lemhi River Weir	33	103	5.3	16.7
Lolo Creek	66	147	6.0	13.4
Lookingglass Creek	113	265	3.9	9.1
Lostine River	104	324	4.0	12.5
Minam River	79	234	4.1	12.1
Newsome Creek	221	193	10.1	8.8
Secesh River	124	295	3.8	9.2
Secesh River Trap	413	1,007	4.8	11.6
Valley Creek	37	171	1.5	6.8

Index-Count Stocks Monitored at Lower Granite and McNary Dams

While most of the Release-Recovery PIT-tagged stocks had much lower than average rates of detection, the Index-Count Stocks, the individual release-recovery ESUs of wild Snake River yearling Chinook salmon had 1.5-2 times higher-than-average. The MADs decreased from 3.49% to 2.31% this year for the run-at-large Lower Granite Dam, and from 4.46% to 2.40% at McNary.

Table 3.3: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigration to Lower Granite and McNary dams, of the PIT-tagged population of wild Snake River spring/summer yearling Chinook salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Detection Location	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Lower Granite Dam	3.49	5.93	2.65	2.31	3.66	1.79
McNary Dam	4.46	5.50	4.20	2.40	4.24	1.99

3.1.2 PIT-Tagged Steelhead

The season-wide MADs of wild PIT-tagged Snake River steelhead were slightly larger compared to last year at Lower Granite Dam, but lower at McNary dams (Table 3.4). Also at McNary Dam, season-wide MADs of the PIT-tagged run-at-large of Upper Columbia wild steelhead at McNary Dam season-wide MAD increased from 5.09% to 9.34%, and for the combined PIT-tagged steelhead from 4.83% to 18.50%.

Table 3.4: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations of the PIT-tagged subpopulations of wild Snake and Upper Columbia Rivers steelhead detected at Lower Granite and McNary Dams. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Stock	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Snake River steelhead detected at Lower Granite Dam	4.49	3.95	4.79	5.29	5.50	5.18
Snake River steelhead detected at McNary Dam	6.14	10.46	3.67	4.67	4.81	4.57
Upper Columbia River steelhead detected at McNary Dam	5.09	9.27	1.62	9.34	5.65	11.14
Combined Snake and Upper Columbia River wild steelhead detected at McNary Dam	4.83	6.74	3.45	18.50	9.55	23.57

3.1.3 PIT-Tagged Sockeye Salmon

MADs for the wild PIT-tagged run-at-large of Snake River sockeye salmon smolts (an index stock) forecasted at McNary Dam was larger than that of last year. The season-wide MAD was 3.05% compared to 8.82% last year (Table 3.5). The expected count was lower than observed this year (434 vs. 1,329).

Table 3.5: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to McNary Dam of the PIT-tagged population of wild Snake River sockeye salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Detection Location	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
McNary Dam	8.82	12.08	8.34	3.05	11.99	1.72

3.1.4 PIT-tagged Subyearling Chinook Salmon

Release-Recovery Stock Monitored at Lower Granite Dam

The stock of subyearling fall Chinook salmon smolts captured, PIT-tagged and released during April through July into the Snake River, near its confluence with the Salmon River (Section 2.1.1) has been monitored by the RealTime project since 1999. This group was predicted well for the first half (2.80% MAD), but a late burst of subyearlings in July protracted the normal outmigration pattern.

Table 3.6: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of PIT-tagged populations of wild Snake River fall subyearling Chinook salmon. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Stock	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Snake River	3.13	4.50	2.43	5.49	2.80	6.34

Index-Count Stocks Monitored at McNary Dam

Snake River predictions of subyearling Chinook salmon runs to McNary Dam about the same season-wide (4.92% MAD vs. 3.65% last year, Table 3.7). The season-wide MAD for the Upper Columbia subyearling Chinook salmon runs to McNary Dam improved from 8.94% to 5.90%.

Table 3.7: Mean absolute deviations (MADs) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations of PIT-tagged populations of wild Snake River fall subyearling Chinook salmon and wild Upper Columbia River subyearling Chinook salmon monitored at McNary Dam. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Stock	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
All Wild PIT-tagged Snake River Subyearling Chinook Salmon detected at McNary Dam	3.65	5.16	3.21	4.92	7.06	3.20
All Wild PIT-tagged Upper Columbia River Subyearling Chinook Salmon detected at McNary Dam	8.94	17.84	7.10	5.90	13.84	4.94

3.2 Hatchery-Reared ESUs

The only hatchery-reared PIT-tagged stocks monitored by Program RealTime have been summer-run sockeye. In 2001 and 2002, the stock was a composite of smolts released into Alturas Lake Creek, Redfish Lake Creek Trap, and Sawtooth Trap. Since then, only the stock from Redfish Lake was tracked. The season-wide MAD for this year (5.15%) increased from last year (1.98%). Predictions closely followed the observed outmigration, but only 45.1 fish were detected (adjusted for spill).

Table 3.8: Mean absolute deviations (MADs, section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Lower Granite Dam of the PIT-tagged hatchery-reared sockeye from Redfish Lake. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Detection Location	2009			2010		
	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Lower Granite Dam	1.98	1.13	2.30	5.15	5.37	5.09

3.3 Combined Wild and Hatchery Runs-at-Large

2010 had mixed results compared to last year. While MADs were generally equivalent between the years, species that did better at one dam were slightly worse at others. Most notably, the MADs were much worse at Rock Island this year for sockeye and subyearling Chinook, due to a surge of both species in July.

Table 3.9: Mean absolute deviations (MADs, Section 2.4.3) for the 2009 and 2010 outmigrations to Rock Island, Lower Granite Dam, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams of FPC passage indices of the combined wild and hatchery runs-at-large of salmon and steelhead. Columns show MADs for the entire run, the first 50% of the run, and the last 50% of the run.

Detection Site	Stock	2009			2010		
		Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
Rock Island Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	7.97	6.99	8.49	4.53	5.16	4.21
	Steelhead	2.31	2.37	2.29	1.80	2.23	1.60
	Coho Salmon	1.39	1.56	1.26	1.05	0.42	1.45
	Sockeye Salmon	9.01	9.55	8.79	12.54	25.52	5.35
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	8.11	6.37	11.08	18.14	20.18	13.63
Lower Granite Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2.66	3.64	2.24	1.14	1.06	1.18
	Steelhead	1.97	1.11	2.29	3.56	6.35	2.35
	Coho Salmon	2.51	1.11	2.95	5.70	8.69	5.08
	Sockeye Salmon	7.57	24.28	1.96	6.28	4.42	7.19
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	3.22	4.81	3.05	4.30	11.98	3.76
McNary Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2.42	0.84	3.02	1.67	0.56	2.16
	Steelhead	1.76	1.35	1.88	2.09	2.20	2.05
	Coho Salmon	2.01	1.90	2.06	4.36	8.02	2.08
	Sockeye Salmon	5.39	6.28	5.06	6.51	16.27	1.97
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	2.76	6.28	1.38	3.48	5.14	2.87
John Day Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	1.23	1.01	1.35	1.29	1.20	1.34
	Steelhead	2.20	2.71	1.95	3.54	1.14	4.73
	Coho Salmon	2.59	1.73	3.34	2.76	4.66	1.52
	Sockeye Salmon	3.30	4.61	2.54	3.58	3.81	3.45
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	4.33	1.63	5.35	3.45	3.95	3.25
Bonneville Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	4.18	6.24	2.46	1.95	3.02	1.22
	Steelhead	2.25	1.71	2.70	1.69	2.35	1.17
	Coho Salmon	1.93	2.33	1.73	1.86	2.81	1.48
	Sockeye Salmon	3.71	2.46	4.65	5.08	8.16	2.00
	Subyearling Chinook Salmon	2.93	4.74	2.50	4.43	11.22	2.42

4.0 Discussion

The RealTime Program 2010 performance in predicting run-timing of FPC passage-indexed stocks and PIT-tagged stocks was similar to 2009. The initial precision benefit of adjusting the selection criteria of historical years in 2008 looks to have leveled off, with season-wide MADs staying close to last year's results. While the run-at-large and passage indexed forecasts were fairly consistent in performance, the source of fish the making up the outmigration run has changed through time.

The RealTime website was revamped this year to better assist those interested the outmigration status to canvas what is available. In addition, historical results are archived in a manner that is more intuitive for reference. This revision was a result from the number of years the program has been in use, and the addition of new release sites and removal of others that had been forecasted by RealTime.

Table 4.1 displays the observed versus predicted counts of fish at each of the dams for all the index-count stocks used by RealTime in 2010. These expected counts are based on the historical average of counts at each site for each species, and it was rare that they were close to what actually was observed. In determining the status of outmigration for these stocks at each site, the simple method of using the historical average to gauge the present year's migration status is woefully inadequate. Program RealTime has shown that incorporating the additional information of a stock's historical outmigration characteristics (length of run, percentage of fish observed daily, etc.) dramatically improves the status predictions. This program has proven to be an excellent tool in the determination of migration status, and as the historical data accumulates, will continue to improve.

Table 4.1: Comparison of expected number of detections for passage indices and the observed numbers for all index-count stocks monitored by Program RealTime in 2010.

Rearing/ Data Type	Detection Site	Stock	Expected 2010 Counts	Observed 2010 Counts
Wild/PIT-tag	Lower Granite Dam	Spring/Summer Yearling Chinook	7,653	12,022
		Steelhead	5,504	5,753
	McNary Dam	Snake River Yearling Chinook Salmon	7,096	15,583
		Snake River Steelhead	2,392	3,497
		Upper Columbia River Steelhead Salmon	314	379
		Snake & Upper Columbia River Steelhead Salmon	2,658	3,876
		Snake River Sockeye Salmon	434	1,329
		Snake River Subyearling Chinook Salmon	345	497
		Upper Columbia River Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,637	896
Combined Wild & Hatchery/FPC Passage Indices	Rock Island Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	26,878	11,802
		Steelhead	25,528	17,194
		Coho Salmon	41,139	40,536
		Sockeye Salmon	17,639	37,404
		Subyearling Chinook Salmon	23,279	20,865
	Lower Granite Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2,768,458	2,442,849
		Steelhead	4,987,245	2,002,850
		Coho Salmon	125,751	39,771
		Sockeye Salmon	21,612	8,141
		Subyearling Chinook Salmon	416,931	985,236
	McNary Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	2,300,846	2,069,614
		Steelhead	682,721	504,653
		Coho Salmon	203,644	82,520
		Sockeye Salmon	588,468	1,089,082
		Subyearling Chinook Salmon	6,750,452	3,875,639
	John Day Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	1,198,017	1,002,222
		Steelhead	654,604	575,179
		Coho Salmon	229,336	110,178
		Sockeye Salmon	267,428	574,903
		Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,676,088	2,219,585
	Bonneville Dam	Yearling Chinook Salmon	1,295,086	2,278,832
		Steelhead	444,409	843,785
		Coho Salmon	873,645	519,069
		Sockeye Salmon	209,586	775,955
		Subyearling Chinook Salmon	1,581,592	3,294,637

5.0 Recommendations

The RealTime webpage has been revamped to more easily allow a user to focus on a particular species, release group, or dam to determine the outmigration progress. In addition, the historical data available, the availability of PIT-tag releases and FPC index counts, and the new dynamic web page allows us to provide more historical documentation on migration patterns and predictor performance in an easily accessible site. We will continue to provide more detailed information online (MADs, passage dates, detection counts, daily record graphs, passage bar graphs) in proceeding years.

6.0 Literature Cited

Achord, S., M.B. Eppard, E.E. Hockersmith, B.P. Sandford, G.A. Axel, G.M. Matthews. 2000. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, 1998. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1998 (DOE/BP-18800-7) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 89 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Achord, S., M.B. Eppard, E.E. Hockersmith, B.P. Sandford, G.M. Matthews. 1998. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, 1997. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1997 (DOE/BP-18800-6) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 86 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Achord, S., M.B. Eppard, E.E. Hockersmith, B.P. Sandford, G.M. Matthews. 1997. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, annual report 1996. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1996 (DOE/BP-18800-5) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 86 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Achord, S., M.B. Eppard, B.P. Sandford, G.M. Matthews. 1996. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, 1995. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1995 (DOE/BP-18800-4) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 194 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Achord, S., D.J. Kamikawa, B.P. Sandford, G.M. Matthews. 1995. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, 1993. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1993 (DOE/BP-18800-2) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 100 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Achord, S., G.M. Matthews, D.M. Marsh, B.P. Sandford, D.J. Kamikawa. 1994. Monitoring the migrations of wild Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon smolts, 1992. National Marine Fisheries Service, Seattle, Washington. Annual Report 1992 (DOE/BP-18800-1) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 9102800, Contract DE-A179-91BP18800. 88 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Ashe, B. L., A. C. Miller, P. A. Kucera and M. L. Blenden. 1995. Spring Outmigration of Wild and Hatchery Chinook Salmon and Steelhead Smolts from Imnaha River, March 1 - June 15, 1994. Nez Perce Tribe, Department of Fisheries Resources Management, Lapwai, Idaho. Technical Report (DOE/BP-38906-4) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 87-127, Contract DE-FC79-88BP38906. 76 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Beer, N., J.A. Hayes, R. Zabel, P. Shaw, J.J. Anderson. 1999. Evaluation of the 1998 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using CRISP/RT. Report to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 89-108, Contract DE-B179-89BP02347.

Blenden, M. L., R. S. Osborne and P. A. Kucera. 1996. Spring outmigration of wild hatchery Chinook salmon and steelhead smolts from the Imnaha River, Oregon, February 6-June 20, 1995. Nez Perce Tribe, Department of Fisheries Resources Management, Lapwai, Idaho. Annual Report 1995 (DOE/BP-38906-5a) to Bonneville Power Administration, Project 87-127, Contract DE-FC79-88BP38906. 74 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Burgess, C., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 1999. Evaluation of the 1998 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling and Subyearling Chinook and Steelhead, and hatchery Sockeye in the Snake River Basin Using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572. 43 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Burgess, C. and J. R. Skalski. 2000a. Evaluation of the 1999 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling and Subyearling Chinook Salmon and Steelhead, and hatchery Sockeye Salmon in the Snake River Basin Using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report submitted to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572. 30 pp.

Burgess, C. and J. R. Skalski. 2000b. Evaluation of the 2000 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Chinook Salmon and Steelhead, and Hatchery Sockeye Salmon in the Snake River Basin, and Combined Wild and Hatchery Salmonids migrating to Rock Island and McNary Dams using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report submitted to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572. 37 pp.

Burgess, C. and J.R. Skalski. 2000c. Effectiveness of a New Calibration Procedure for Improving the Accuracy of Program RealTime Run-Time Predictions for Snake and Columbia River Salmonids. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Letter Report submitted to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572. 37 pp.

Burgess, C. and J. R. Skalski. 2001. Evaluation of the 2001 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild and Hatchery-Reared Salmon and Steelhead migrating to Lower Granite, Rock Island, McNary, and John Day Dams using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report submitted to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051-00, Contract 96BI-91572. 41 pp.

Connor, W.P., H. Burge and R. Bugert. 1992. Migration timing of natural and hatchery fall Chinook in the Salmon River Basin. Pages 46-56 in Passage and survival of juvenile Chinook salmon migrating from the Snake River Basin. Proceedings of a technical workshop. Prepared by the Idaho Chapter of the American Fisheries Society, Idaho Water Resources Institute, University of Idaho Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit and the Western Division of the American Fisheries Society.

Connor, W.P., H.L. Burge and W.H. Miller. 1993. Rearing and emigration of naturally produced Snake River fall Chinook salmon juveniles. Pages 81-116 *In* D.W. Rondorf and W.H. Miller, editors. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook in the Columbia River Basin. 1991 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-1), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Connor, W.P., H.L. Burge and W.H. Miller. 1994a. Rearing and emigration of naturally produced Snake River fall Chinook salmon juveniles. Pages 92-119 *In* D.W. Rondorf and W.H. Miller, editors. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook in the Columbia River Basin. 1992 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-2), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR. 97283-3621.)

Connor, W.P., H.L. Burge, D. Steele, C. Eaton and R. Bowen. 1994b. Rearing and emigration of naturally produced Snake River fall Chinook salmon juveniles. Pages 41-73 *In* D.W. Rondorf and K.F. Tiffan, editors. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook in the Columbia River Basin. 1993 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-3), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Connor, W.P., H.L. Burge, R.D. Nelle, C. Eaton and R. Waitt. 1996. Rearing and emigration of naturally produced Snake River fall Chinook salmon juveniles. Pages 44-63 *In* D.W. Rondorf and K.F. Tiffan, editors. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook in the Columbia River Basin. 1994 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-4), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR. 97283-3621.)

Connor, W.P., T.C. Bjornn, H.L. Burge, A. Garcia, and D.W. Rondorf. 1997. Early life history and survival of natural subyearling fall Chinook salmon in the Snake and Clearwater rivers in 1995. *In* D. Rondorf and K. Tiffan (editors), Identification of the spawning, rearing, and migratory requirements of fall Chinook salmon in the Columbia River Basin, p. 18-47. Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration, Contract DE-AI79-91BP21708, 112 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Connor, W.P., H.L. Burge, D.H. Bennett. 1998. Detection of PIT-tagged Subyearling Chinook Salmon at a Snake River Dam: Implications for Summer Flow Augmentation. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*: 530-36.

Connor, W.P., and several co-authors. In preparation-b. Fall Chinook salmon spawning habitat availability in the Snake River. A manuscript to be submitted to the *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* in 1999.

Fish Passage Center of the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority. 1999. Fish Passage Center Weekly Report #99-23 (Available from Fish Passage Center of the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Authority, 2501 SW First Avenue, Suite 230, Portland, OR 97201-4752.)

Giorgi, A. E., and J. W. Schlechte. 1997. An evaluation of the effectiveness of flow augmentation in the Snake River, 1991-1995. Phase I Final Report (DOE/BP-24576-1) to Bonneville Power Administration 95-070-00, Contract DE-AC79-92BP24576. 47 pp. plus appendices. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Hayes, J. A., R. Zabel, P. Shaw, J. J. Anderson. 1996. Evaluation of the 1996 predictions of the run-timing of wild migrant yearling Chinook at multiple locations in the Snake and Columbia River Basins using CRiSP/RealTime. Center for Quantitative Science, School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report to Bonneville Power Administration Project 89-108, Contract DE-BI79-89BP02347. 74 pp.

- Healey, M.C. 1991. Life History of Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). In Pacific Salmon Life Histories, Groot, C. and L. Margolis, editors. 1991. UBC Press, Vancouver, Canada. 564 pp.
- Keefe, M. L., D. J. Anderson, R. W. Carmichael and B. C. Jonasson. 1996. Early life history study of Grande Ronde River Basin Chinook salmon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Research Project. 1995 Annual Report (D147 DOE/BP-33299-1B) to the Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 92-026-04, Contract 94BI33299. 39 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)
- Marshall, A., W.P. Connor, and several co-authors. Stock and race identification of subyearling Chinook salmon in the Snake River. Submitted to Transactions of the American Fisheries Society in 1998.
- Nelson, W.R., L.K. Freidenburg and D.W. Rondorf. Accepted. Swimming behavior and performance of emigrating subyearling Chinook salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society.
- NMFS. 2000. White Paper. Passage of Juvenile and Adult Salmonids Past Columbia and Snake River Dams, April 2000. Available at www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/pubs/nwfscpubs.html.
- OWICU. 1996 Memorandum dated June 3, 1996, prepared by technical staffs of the Columbia River salmon management agencies to Implementation Team: Review of Fall Chinook Juvenile Migration Data. 19 pp.
- Prentice, E.F., T.A. Flagg, and C.S. McCutcheon. 1990a. Feasibility of using implantable passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags in salmonids. *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.* 7:317-322.
- Prentice, E.F., T.A. Flagg, C.S. McCutcheon, and D.F. Brastow. 1990b. PIT-tag monitoring systems for hydroelectric dams and fish hatcheries. *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.* 7:323-334.
- Prentice, E.F., T.A. Flagg, C.S. McCutcheon, D.F. Brastow, and D.C. Cross. 1990c. Equipment, methods, and an automated data-entry station for PIT tagging. *Am. Fish. Soc. Symp.* 7:335-340.
- Rondorf, D.W., and W.H. Miller, editors. 1993. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook salmon in the Columbia River basin. 1991 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-1), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)
- Rondorf, D.W., and W.H. Miller, editors. 1994a. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook salmon in the Columbia River basin. 1992 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-2), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)
- Rondorf, D.W., and K.F. Tiffan, editors. 1994b. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook salmon in the Columbia River basin. 1993 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-3), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)
- Rondorf, D.W., and K.F. Tiffan, editors. 1996. Identification of the spawning, rearing and migratory requirements of fall Chinook salmon in the Columbia River basin. 1994 Annual Report to Bonneville Power Administration (DOE/BP-21708-4), Contract DEAI79-91BP21708, Portland, Oregon. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)
- Smith, S.G., J.R. Skalski, A. Giorgi. 1993 Statistical Evaluation of Travel Time Estimation Based on Data From Freeze-Branded Chinook Salmon on the Snake River, 1982-1990. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-4) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DE-B179-91BP35885. 113 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Smith, S. G., W. D. Muir, E. E. Hokersmith, M. B. Eppard, and W. P. Connor. 1997. Passage survival of natural and hatchery subyearling fall Chinook salmon to Lower Granite, Little Goose, and Lower Monumental Dams. Pages 1-65 *In* J. G. Williams and T. C. Bjornn, editors. Fall Chinook salmon survival and supplementation studies in the Snake and Lower Columbia River Reservoirs, 1995. Annual Report (DOE-BP-10891-4) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 93-029, Contract 93AI10891 and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Contract E86950141. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Skalski, J. R., G. Tartakovsky, S. G. Smith and P. Westhagen. 1994. Pre-1994 Season Projection of Run-Timing Capabilities Using PIT-tag Databases. Center for Quantitative Science, School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-7) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DEBI79-87BP35885. 67 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Tiffan, K.F., and several co-authors. In preparation-a. Morphological differences between emigrating juvenile spring and fall Chinook salmon in the Snake River. A manuscript to be submitted to the Transactions of the American Fisheries Society in 1999.

Tiffan, K.F., and several co-authors. In review-b. Marking subyearling Chinook salmon to estimate adult contribution in the Columbia River. A manuscript submitted to the North American Journal of Fisheries Management.

Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, D. Yasuda and J. R. Skalski. 1995. Evaluation of the 1994 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin. Center for Quantitative Science, School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-8) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DE-BI79-87BP35885. 93 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Townsend, R. L., P. Westhagen, D. Yasuda, J. R. Skalski, and K. Ryding. 1996. Evaluation of the 1995 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin using Program RealTime. Center for Quantitative Science, School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report (DOE/BP-35885-9) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DE-BI79-87BP35885. 64 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Townsend, R. L., D. Yasuda, and J. R. Skalski. 1997. Evaluation of the 1996 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Spring/Summer Yearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin Using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report (DOE/BP-91572-1) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract 96BI91572. 30 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

Townsend, R. L., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 1998a. Evaluation of the 1996 Predictions of 34 the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Subyearling Chinook in the Snake River Basin Using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report (accepted) to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DEBI79-87BP35885. 31 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR. 97283-3621.)

Townsend, R. L., J. R. Skalski, and D. Yasuda. 2000. Evaluation of the 1997 Predictions of the Run-Timing of Wild Migrant Yearling and Subyearling Chinook and Sockeye in the Snake River Basin Using Program RealTime. School of Fisheries, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Technical Report to Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon, Project 91-051, Contract DE-BI79-87BP35885. 30 pp. (Available from Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, P.O. Box 3621, Portland, OR 97283-3621.)

7.0 Appendix

The following figures are snapshots of the RealTime website, giving examples of the new layout and information that can be obtained. The homepage for the RealTime results is

<http://www.cbr.washington.edu/realtime>

Figure A.1. RealTime homepage. Forecasted runs can be called up by year, and then grouped by species, dam site, or data category (Release-Capture PIT-tagged, FPC Index, Run of River PIT-tagged, and Chelan Index data).

Realtime Inseason Forecaster

[Inseason Forecaster Home](#) |
 [Stock Map & Information](#) |
 [Forecast Archive](#) |
 [Publications](#) |
 [Overview](#)

Navigation

- » Home
- » Help

Reports

- » Current Results
- » Historical Results
- » MADs
- » Flow

Release Recapture

- » Stock Selection
- » Expected Values

Run-of-River

- » Expected Values

Results

Filter

Grouping: Data Type Year: 2010

Release-Capture PIT-Tagged Data

Dam	Rearing Type	Stock	Data Date	Prediction	Actions
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lolo Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lemhi River Weir Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Hatchery	Redfish Lake Hatchery Sockeye	2010-07-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Secesh River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Secesh River Trap Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Minam River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lostine River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lookingglass Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Johnson Creek Trap Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Imnaha Trap Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Imnaha River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Catherine Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Big Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lake Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Lemhi River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Wild Trapped Snake River Sub-yearling Chinook	2010-12-16	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Newsome Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Lower Granite Dam	Wild	Valley Creek Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot

Total rows: 18

FPC Index Data

Dam	Rearing Type	Stock	Data Date	Prediction	Actions
McNary Dam	Combined Wild and Hatchery	Run of River Yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot
Bonneville Dam	Combined Wild and Hatchery	Run of River Sub-yearling Chinook	2010-10-31	100	Plot
John Day Dam	Combined Wild and Hatchery	Run of River Steelhead	2010-09-30	100	Plot
McNary Dam	Combined Wild and Hatchery	Run of River Sub-yearling Chinook	2010-09-30	100	Plot

Figure A.2. Clicking on a run name brings up historical MADs, Release/Recapture Summary, and passage date tables (predicted and observed), for that particular release group.

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL <http://www.cbr.washington.edu/realtime/stocks/view/64>. The page title is "Realtime Inseason Forecaster". The navigation menu includes: Inseason Forecaster Home, Stock Map & Information, Forecast Archive, Publications, and Overview. A left sidebar contains a "Navigation" menu with sections for Home, Help, Reports (Current Results, Historical Results, MADs, Flow), Release Recapture (Stock Selection, Expected Values), and Run-of-River (Expected Values). The main content area shows a filter for "Stock: LWG - Lolo Creek Yearling Chinook".

Lolo Creek Yearling Chinook

Data Source: Release-Recapture PIT-Tagged Data
Dam: Lower Granite Dam
Fish Type: Yearling Chinook
Rearing Type: Wild
Collection type: PIT-tag detections
Release type: Release-Recapture
Spill Adjusted: Yes
Latest Forecast: 100% on 2010-09-30

MADs

Year	Entire Run	First 50%	Last 50%
2010	3.03	3.75	2.73
2008	15.13	19.52	8.41
2007	8.24	10.96	3.78
2006	7.95	12.52	5.00
2005	5.20	5.06	5.27
2004	1.54	1.40	1.63
2003	11.37	12.85	10.47
2002	10.58	5.10	12.35

Releases / Recapture Summary

Year	Released	Observed
2010	1098	66
2009	636	75
2008	195	29
2007	2125	194
2006	3076	220
2005	2492	514
2004	3126	258
2003	2278	65
2002	2047	84
2001	1247	215
2000	917	28
1999	2005	97
1998	624	110
1996	238	3
1995	1852	102

Figure A.3. Clicking on “plot” on the release group name brings up the Daily Record Graph for that particular release group, with tabs for the observed Detection Graph, Passage Bar Graph, and a text file of the daily Results In Depth.






Figure A.4. Daily Record Graph, Detection Graph, Passage Bar Graph, and a text file of the daily Results In Depth.


a. Daily Record Graph



b. Detection Graph



c. Passage Bar Graph



d. Results in Depth

Realtime Inseason Forecaster

Newsome Creek Yearling Chinook at Lower Granite Dam

Result Selector
Stock: LWG - Newsome Creek Yearling Chinook
Data Date: Sep-30

Navigation
Reports
Release Recapture
Run-of-River

Results in Depth

For an expansion of some of the items used here, see the help page.

1202_STATE: 2010, 09, 30
1202_LACR: [Data]

INSE OF	LASRAC	FISH	99	CI	DAVE	DAV	OF	ROM	FISH	AGI	FISH	ROM	FISH
Run	Date	Run	(RM)	Stock	Run	Detected	Detected	Balance					
NEWSOC	09/25/10	100.0	0.2	6.3	144	221	505.3	2187					

PROB001: 34 128 228 308 408 508 608 708 808 908 908
 Date: 04/24 04/24 04/28 05/05 05/05 05/10 05/14 05/20 05/31 06/08 04/19
 SD (COP)1: 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 1.4 0.2 0.2 0.4 1.7 6.3 2.4

NEWSO_DATA: [Data]

Daily_Predictions: NEWSOC 2010

Date	Tend	CI	Forecast	CI	Count	Stock	RM	Mean	RM
04/20	1.00	(3.00)	0.52	(0.00)	1.0	1.7	4.21	19.69	50.03
04/21	2.00	(3.94)	0.52	(0.00)	0.0	0.0	4.21	21.58	54.79
04/22	3.00	(4.89)	0.40	(0.00)	0.0	1.4	4.21	23.48	59.02
04/23	4.00	(5.85)	2.39	(0.01)	4.0	7.9	4.21	23.47	62.87
04/24	10.00	(12.07)	4.49	(0.01)	0.0	14.0	9.11	27.72	68.07
04/25	17.00	(18.03)	5.45	(0.02)	4.0	9.11	13.17	29.54	45.08
04/26	23.00	(17.89)	11.89	(0.02)	7.0	17.0	13.17	31.44	49.11
04/27	33.00	(14.47)	15.28	(0.04)	13.0	32.7	13.17	33.57	45.11
04/28	36.10	(18.00)	22.84	(0.05)	9.0	21.7	13.17	31.39	49.43

Figure A.5. MADs for all releases for a year are available on one page.




Figure A.6. Annual daily flow and spill at Lower Granite Dam used by the RealTime program to spill-adjust detection counts is available on the Flow page.




Figure A.7. The Stock Selection webpage displays PIT-tag release groups for a particular year that have the necessary number of historical years and minimum expected fish detected. Program RealTime now uses a minimum of 3 historical years with at least 100 expected fish detected at Lower Granite Dam.

